

Paper No. 5

WASHINGTON, DC 20231

www.uspto.gov

Tom M. Moran Cooley Godward LLP Attn: Patent Group Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155

MAILED
NOV 0 7 2000
Technology Center 2100

In re Application of: Derrick L. Collison et al.

Application No. 09/502,873 Filed: February 11, 2000

For: CERTIFIED MESSAGE DELIVERY

AND QUEUING IN MULTIPOINT

PUBLISH/SUBSCRIBE COMMUNICATIONS

DECISION ON REQUEST TO WITHRAW AS ATTORNEY OR AGENT

This is a decision on the Request To Withdraw from Representation filed July 13, 2000.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney of record should indicate thereon the present mailing addresses of the attorney(s) who is/are withdrawing from the record and of the applicant. The request for withdrawal must be signed by every attorney seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. A request to withdraw will not be approved unless at least 30 (thirty) days would remain between the date of approval and the later of the expiration date of a time to file a response or the expiration date of the maximum time period which can be extended under 37 C.F.R. § 1. 136(a). The effective date of withdrawal being the date of decision and not the date of request. See M.P.E.P. § 402.06. 37 C.F.R. § 1.36 further requires that the applicant or patent owner be notified of the withdrawal of the attorney or agent.

The request is deficient in that it does not provide a clear indication that Mr. Moran is authorized to sign on behalf of the attorneys and agents listed. Accordingly, the request is **DENIED**.

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant. Applicant is reminded of the obligation to promptly notify the Patent and Trademark Office (Office) of any change in correspondence address to ensure receipt of all communications from the Office.



United States Patent and Trademark Office



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Washington, DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

2

Application No. 09/502,873
On Petition

Robert A. Weinhardt
Special Program Examiner
Technology Center 2100
Computer Architecture, Software,
& Electronic Commerce
703-305-9780



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231

Paper No. 6

Richard M. Goldman Cooley Godward LLP Attn: Patent Group Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155 MAILED

Technology Center 2100

In re Application of: Derrick L. Collison et al.

Application No. 09/502,873 Filed: February 11, 2000

For: CERTIFIED MESSAGE DELIVERY

AND QUEUING IN MULTIPOINT

PUBLISH/SUBSCRIBE COMMUNICATIONS

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the petition, filed February 11, 2000 under 37 C.F.R. §102(d) and M.P.E.P. § 708.02(VIII): Accelerated Examination, to make the above-identified application special

M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section VIII which sets out the prerequisites for a grantable petition for Accelerated Examination under 37 C.F.R. § 102(d) states in relevant part:

A new application (one which has not received any examination by the examiner) may be granted special status provided that applicant (and this term includes applicant's attorney or agent) complies with each of the following items:

- (A) Submits a petition to make special accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(i);
- (B) Presents all claims directed to a single invention, or if the Office determines that all the claims presented are not obviously directed to a single invention, will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status...;
- (C) Submits a statement(s) that a pre-examination search was made, listing the field of search by class and subclass, publication, Chemical Abstracts, foreign patents, etc. A search made by a foreign patent office satisfies this requirement;
- (D) Submits one copy each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record; and
- (E) Submits a detailed discussion of the references, which discussion points out, with the particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111 (b) and (c), how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references.



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Washington, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

Application No. 09/502,873
On Petition

2

In those instances where the request for this special status does not meet all the prerequisites set forth above, applicant will be notified and the defects in the request will be stated. The application will remain in the status of a new application awaiting action in its regular turn. In those instances where a request is defective in one or more respects, applicant will be given one opportunity to perfect the request in a renewed petition to make special. If perfected, the request will then be granted. If not perfected in the first renewed petition, any additional renewed petitions to make special may or may not be considered at the discretion of the Group Special Program Examiner.

Applicant's submission is deficient in that it does not comply with (E) above. That is, no clear indication is given specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes from the references.

Accordingly, the Petition is <u>**DENIED**</u>. The application file is being forwarded to Central Files to await examination in its proper turn based on its effective filing date.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within two months of the mailing date of this decision.

Robert A. Weinhardt

Special Program Examiner

Technology Center 2100

Computer Architecture, Software,

& Electronic Commerce

703-305-9780